

Cache Memories

CS140 – Assembly Language and Computer Organization


Slides by:

Randal E. Bryant and David R. O'Hallaron


Today

- **Cache memory organization and operation**
- **Performance impact of caches**
 - The memory mountain
 - Rearranging loops to improve spatial locality
 - Using blocking to improve temporal locality

Example Memory Hierarchy




General Cache Concept




Cache Memories


- **Cache memories** are small, fast SRAM-based memories managed automatically in hardware
 - Hold frequently accessed blocks of main memory
- **CPU looks first for data in cache**
- **Typical system structure:**



General Cache Organization (S, E, B)



Cache Read




- *Locate set*
- *Check if any line in set has matching tag*
- *Yes + line valid: hit*
- *Locate data starting at offset*

Example: Direct Mapped Cache ($E = 1$)

Direct mapped: One line per set


Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



Example: Direct Mapped Cache (E = 1)

Direct mapped: One line per set


Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



Example: Direct Mapped Cache (E = 1)

Direct mapped: One line per set

Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



If tag doesn't match: old line is evicted and replaced

Direct-Mapped Cache Simulation

| | | |
|-----|-----|-----|
| t=1 | s=2 | b=1 |
| x | xx | x |

M=16 bytes (4-bit addresses), B=2 bytes/block,
S=4 sets, E=1 Blocks/set

Address trace (reads, one byte per read):

| | | |
|---|-------------------------------|------|
| 0 | [<u>0000</u> ₂], | miss |
| 1 | [<u>0001</u> ₂], | hit |
| 7 | [<u>0111</u> ₂], | miss |
| 8 | [<u>1000</u> ₂], | miss |
| 0 | [<u>0000</u> ₂] | miss |

| | v | Tag | Block |
|-------|---|-----|--------|
| Set 0 | 1 | 0 | M[0-1] |
| Set 1 | | | |
| Set 2 | | | |
| Set 3 | 1 | 0 | M[6-7] |


E-way Set Associative Cache (Here: E = 2)

E = 2: Two lines per set

Assume: cache block size 8 bytes

Address of short int:


| | | |
|--------|--------|-----|
| t bits | 0...01 | 100 |
|--------|--------|-----|



E-way Set Associative Cache (Here: E = 2)

E = 2: Two lines per set


Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



E-way Set Associative Cache (Here: E = 2)

E = 2: Two lines per set

Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



No match:

- One line in set is selected for eviction and replacement
- Replacement policies: random, least recently used (LRU), ...

2-Way Set Associative Cache Simulation

| | | |
|-----|-----|-----|
| t=2 | s=1 | b=1 |
| xx | x | x |

M=16 byte addresses, B=2 bytes/block,
S=2 sets, E=2 blocks/set

Address trace (reads, one byte per read):

| | | |
|---|-----------------------|------|
| 0 | [0000 ₂], | miss |
| 1 | [0001 ₂], | hit |
| 7 | [0111 ₂], | miss |
| 8 | [1000 ₂], | miss |
| 0 | [0000 ₂] | hit |

| | v | Tag | Block |
|-------|---|-----|--------|
| Set 0 | 1 | 00 | M[0-1] |
| | 1 | 10 | M[8-9] |
| Set 1 | 1 | 01 | M[6-7] |
| | 0 | | |

What about writes?

■ Multiple copies of data exist:

- L1, L2, L3, Main Memory, Disk

■ What to do on a write-hit?

- **Write-through** (write immediately to memory)
- **Write-back** (defer write to memory until replacement of line)
 - Need a dirty bit (line different from memory or not)

■ What to do on a write-miss?


- **Write-allocate** (load into cache, update line in cache)
 - Good if more writes to the location follow
- **No-write-allocate** (writes straight to memory, does not load into cache)

■ Typical

- Write-through + No-write-allocate
- **Write-back + Write-allocate**

Intel Core i7 Cache Hierarchy

Processor package



L1 i-cache and d-cache:

32 KB, 8-way,
Access: 4 cycles

L2 unified cache:

256 KB, 8-way,
Access: 10 cycles

L3 unified cache:

8 MB, 16-way,
Access: 40-75 cycles

Block size: 64 bytes for
all caches.

Cache Performance Metrics

■ Miss Rate

- Fraction of memory references not found in cache (misses / accesses)
= $1 - \text{hit rate}$
- Typical numbers (in percentages):
 - 3-10% for L1
 - can be quite small (e.g., $< 1\%$) for L2, depending on size, etc.

■ Hit Time

- Time to deliver a line in the cache to the processor
 - includes time to determine whether the line is in the cache
- Typical numbers:
 - 4 clock cycle for L1
 - 10 clock cycles for L2

■ Miss Penalty

- Additional time required because of a miss
 - typically 50-200 cycles for main memory (Trend: increasing!)

Let's think about those numbers

- **Huge difference between a hit and a miss**
 - Could be 100x, if just L1 and main memory

- **Would you believe 99% hits is twice as good as 97%?**
 - Consider:
cache hit time of 1 cycle
miss penalty of 100 cycles

 - Average access time:
97% hits: $1 \text{ cycle} + 0.03 * 100 \text{ cycles} = 4 \text{ cycles}$
99% hits: $1 \text{ cycle} + 0.01 * 100 \text{ cycles} = 2 \text{ cycles}$

- **This is why “miss rate” is used instead of “hit rate”**

Writing Cache Friendly Code

- **Make the common case go fast**
 - Focus on the inner loops of the core functions
- **Minimize the misses in the inner loops**
 - Repeated references to variables are good (**temporal locality**)
 - Stride-1 reference patterns are good (**spatial locality**)

Key idea: Our qualitative notion of locality is quantified through our understanding of cache memories

Today

- Cache organization and operation
- **Performance impact of caches**
 - The memory mountain
 - Rearranging loops to improve spatial locality
 - Using blocking to improve temporal locality

The Memory Mountain

- **Read throughput** (read bandwidth)
 - Number of bytes read from memory per second (MB/s)
- **Memory mountain:** Measured read throughput as a function of spatial and temporal locality.
 - Compact way to characterize memory system performance.

Memory Mountain Test Function

```
long data[MAXELEMS]; /* Global array to traverse */
```

```
/* test - Iterate over first "elems" elements of
 *      array "data" with stride of "stride", using
 *      using 4x4 loop unrolling.
 */
```

```
int test(int elems, int stride) {
    long i, sx2=stride*2, sx3=stride*3, sx4=stride*4;
    long acc0 = 0, acc1 = 0, acc2 = 0, acc3 = 0;
    long length = elems, limit = length - sx4;
```

```
/* Combine 4 elements at a time */
```

```
for (i = 0; i < limit; i += sx4) {
    acc0 = acc0 + data[i];
    acc1 = acc1 + data[i+stride];
    acc2 = acc2 + data[i+sx2];
    acc3 = acc3 + data[i+sx3];
}
```

```
/* Finish any remaining elements */
```

```
for (; i < length; i++) {
    acc0 = acc0 + data[i];
}
return ((acc0 + acc1) + (acc2 + acc3));
```

```
}
```

mountain/mountain.c

Call `test()` with many combinations of `elems` and `stride`.


For each `elems` and `stride`:

1. Call `test()` once to warm up the caches.
2. Call `test()` again and measure the read throughput (MB/s)

The Memory Mountain

Core i7 Haswell
2.1 GHz
32 KB L1 d-cache
256 KB L2 cache
8 MB L3 cache
64 B block size

*Aggressive
prefetching*



Today

- Cache organization and operation
- Performance impact of caches
 - The memory mountain
 - Rearranging loops to improve spatial locality
 - Using blocking to improve temporal locality

Matrix Multiplication Example

■ Description:

- Multiply $N \times N$ matrices
- Matrix elements are doubles (8 bytes)
- $O(N^3)$ total operations
- N reads per source element
- N values summed per destination
 - but may be able to hold in register

```
/* ijk */
for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
    for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
        sum = 0.0;
        for (k=0; k<n; k++)
            sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
        c[i][j] = sum;
    }
}
```

Variable sum held in register

matmult/mm.c


Miss Rate Analysis for Matrix Multiply

■ Assume:

- Block size = 32B (big enough for four doubles)
- Matrix dimension (N) is very large
 - Approximate $1/N$ as 0.0
- Cache is not even big enough to hold multiple rows

■ Analysis Method:

- Look at access pattern of inner loop



Layout of C Arrays in Memory (review)

- **C arrays allocated in row-major order**

- each row in contiguous memory locations

- **Stepping through columns in one row:**

- ```
for (i = 0; i < N; i++)
 sum += a[0][i];
```
- accesses successive elements
- if block size (B) > sizeof(a<sub>ij</sub>) bytes, exploit spatial locality
  - miss rate = sizeof(a<sub>ij</sub>) / B

- **Stepping through rows in one column:**

- ```
for (i = 0; i < n; i++)  
    sum += a[i][0];
```
- accesses distant elements
- no spatial locality!
 - miss rate = 1 (i.e. 100%)


Matrix Multiplication (ijk)

```

/* ijk */
for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
    for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
        sum = 0.0;
        for (k=0; k<n; k++)
            sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
        c[i][j] = sum;
    }
}

```

matmult/mm.c



Misses per inner loop iteration:

| <u>A</u> | <u>B</u> | <u>C</u> |
|----------|----------|----------|
| 0.25 | 1.0 | 0.0 |


Matrix Multiplication (jik)

```

/* jik */
for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
        sum = 0.0;
        for (k=0; k<n; k++)
            sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
        c[i][j] = sum
    }
}
                                     matmult/mm.c

```

Inner loop:



Misses per inner loop iteration:

| <u>A</u> | <u>B</u> | <u>C</u> |
|----------|----------|----------|
| 0.25 | 1.0 | 0.0 |


Matrix Multiplication (kij)

```

/* kij */
for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
    for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
        r = a[i][k];
        for (j=0; j<n; j++)
            c[i][j] += r * b[k][j];
    }
}
                                     matmult/mm.c

```

Inner loop:



Misses per inner loop iteration:


| <u>A</u> | <u>B</u> | <u>C</u> |
|----------|----------|----------|
| 0.0 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

Matrix Multiplication (ikj)

```

/* ikj */
for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
    for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
        r = a[i][k];
        for (j=0; j<n; j++)
            c[i][j] += r * b[k][j];
    }
}
                                     matmult/mm.c

```



Misses per inner loop iteration:

| <u>A</u> | <u>B</u> | <u>C</u> |
|----------|----------|----------|
| 0.0 | 0.25 | 0.25 |


Matrix Multiplication (jki)

```

/* jki */
for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
        r = b[k][j];
        for (i=0; i<n; i++)
            c[i][j] += a[i][k] * r;
    }
}
                                     matmult/mm.c

```

Inner loop:



Misses per inner loop iteration:


| <u>A</u> | <u>B</u> | <u>C</u> |
|----------|----------|----------|
| 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |

Matrix Multiplication (kji)

```

/* kji */
for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
    for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
        r = b[k][j];
        for (i=0; i<n; i++)
            c[i][j] += a[i][k] * r;
    }
}
                                     matmult/mm.c

```



Misses per inner loop iteration:

A
1.0

B
0.0

C
1.0

Summary of Matrix Multiplication

```
for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
    for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
        sum = 0.0;
        for (k=0; k<n; k++)
            sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
        c[i][j] = sum;
    }
}
```

ijk (& jik):

- 2 loads, 0 stores
- misses/iter = **1.25**

```
for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
    for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
        r = a[i][k];
        for (j=0; j<n; j++)
            c[i][j] += r * b[k][j];
    }
}
```

kij (& ikj):


- 2 loads, 1 store
- misses/iter = **0.5**

```
for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
        r = b[k][j];
        for (i=0; i<n; i++)
            c[i][j] += a[i][k] * r;
    }
}
```

jki (& kji):

- 2 loads, 1 store
- misses/iter = **2.0**

Core i7 Matrix Multiply Performance




Today

- Cache organization and operation
- Performance impact of caches
 - The memory mountain
 - Rearranging loops to improve spatial locality
 - Using blocking to improve temporal locality

Example: Matrix Multiplication

```
c = (double *) calloc(sizeof(double), n*n);

/* Multiply n x n matrices a and b */
void mmm(double *a, double *b, double *c, int n) {
    int i, j, k;
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
        for (j = 0; j < n; j++)
            for (k = 0; k < n; k++)
                c[i*n + j] += a[i*n + k] * b[k*n + j];
}
```



Cache Miss Analysis


■ Assume:

- Matrix elements are doubles
- Cache block = 8 doubles
- Cache size $C \ll n$ (much smaller than n)

■ First iteration:

- $n/8 + n = 9n/8$ misses

- Afterwards **in cache:**
(schematic)




Cache Miss Analysis

■ Assume:

- Matrix elements are doubles
- Cache block = 8 doubles
- Cache size $C \ll n$ (much smaller than n)

■ Second iteration:

- Again:
 $n/8 + n = 9n/8$ misses



■ Total misses:

- $9n/8 * n^2 = (9/8) * n^3$

Blocked Matrix Multiplication

```


c = (double *) calloc(sizeof(double), n*n);

/* Multiply n x n matrices a and b */
void mmm(double *a, double *b, double *c, int n) {
    int i, j, k;
    for (i = 0; i < n; i+=B)
        for (j = 0; j < n; j+=B)
            for (k = 0; k < n; k+=B)
                /* B x B mini matrix multiplications */
                for (i1 = i; i1 < i+B; i++)
                    for (j1 = j; j1 < j+B; j++)
                        for (k1 = k; k1 < k+B; k++)
                            c[i1*n+j1] += a[i1*n + k1]*b[k1*n + j1];
}

```

matmult/bmm.c


j1



Block size B x B


Cache Miss Analysis

■ Assume:


- Cache block = 8 doubles
- Cache size $C \ll n$ (much smaller than n)
- Three blocks  fit into cache: $3B^2 < C$

■ First (block) iteration:

- $B^2/8$ misses for each block
- $2n/B * B^2/8 = nB/4$
(omitting matrix c)




- Afterwards in cache
(schematic)




Cache Miss Analysis

■ Assume:

- Cache block = 8 doubles
- Cache size $C \ll n$ (much smaller than n)
- Three blocks  fit into cache: $3B^2 < C$

■ Second (block) iteration:

- Same as first iteration
- $2n/B * B^2/8 = nB/4$



■ Total misses:

- $nB/4 * (n/B)^2 = n^3/(4B)$

Blocking Summary

- No blocking: $(9/8) * n^3$
- Blocking: $1/(4B) * n^3$

- Suggest largest possible block size B , but limit $3B^2 < C$!

- Reason for dramatic difference:
 - Matrix multiplication has inherent temporal locality:
 - Input data: $3n^2$, computation $2n^3$
 - Every array elements used $O(n)$ times!
 - But program has to be written properly

Cache Summary

- **Cache memories can have significant performance impact**
- **You can write your programs to exploit this!**
 - Focus on the inner loops, where bulk of computations and memory accesses occur.
 - Try to maximize spatial locality by reading data objects with sequentially with stride 1.
 - Try to maximize temporal locality by using a data object as often as possible once it's read from memory.